



Mistras Group, Inc.
195 Clarksville Road
Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550

April 17, 2017

Dear Mistras Shareholder:

I am pleased to invite you to attend the 2017 Annual Shareholders Meeting of Mistras Group, Inc. The meeting will be held at our headquarters located at 195 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, New Jersey on Tuesday, May 16, 2017 at 2:00 p.m., Eastern Time.

At the meeting, you and our other shareholders will be asked to vote on the following:

- the election of seven directors to our Board of Directors;
- the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2017;
- an advisory vote on our executive compensation;
- an advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation; and
- any other business which properly comes before the meeting.

Regardless of whether or not you expect to attend the meeting in person, please read the accompanying proxy statement and vote as soon as possible. Information about how to vote is included in the accompanying proxy statement or proxy card or in the voting instructions you will receive from your bank or broker. It is important that your shares be represented.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Sotirios J. Vahaviolos", written in a cursive style.

Sotirios J. Vahaviolos, Ph.D.
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer



**Mistras Group, Inc.
195 Clarksville Road
Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550**

Notice of Annual Meeting

April 17, 2017

The Annual Shareholders Meeting of Mistras Group, Inc. will be held on Tuesday, May 16, 2017 at 2:00 p.m., Eastern Time at the Company's headquarters located at 195 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550. The details of the meeting are as follows:

When: 2:00 p.m., Eastern Time, Tuesday, May 16, 2017

Where: Mistras Group Headquarters
195 Clarksville Road
Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550

Items of Business:

- Election of seven directors, constituting the entire Board of Directors.
- Ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2017.
- An advisory vote on the Company's executive compensation.
- An advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation.
- Such other matters as may properly come before the meeting or at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Who can vote: Holders of record of Mistras Group, Inc. common stock at the close of business on April 6, 2017 are entitled to vote at the meeting and any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Voting by proxy: Please submit your proxy and/or voting instructions as described in the accompanying proxy statement or other proxy materials you receive promptly so that a quorum may be represented at the meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors

MICHAEL C. KEEFE
*Executive Vice President,
General Counsel and Secretary*

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS.

This Proxy Statement and Mistras Group, Inc.'s 2016 Transition Period Report are available electronically on the Internet at www.proxyvote.com and on the Company's website at <http://investors.mistrasgroup.com/financials.cfm>.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL INFORMATION	1
Proxy Solicitation	1
Internet Availability of Proxy Materials	1
Voting Recommendation of the Board	1
Shareholders Entitled to Vote, Quorum	1
Votes Needed	2
How to Vote	2
Changing Your Vote	2
Effect of Not Casting Your Vote	3
Tabulating the Votes	3
Voting Results	3
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	3
Overview	3
Board of Directors and Director Independence	4
Committees of the Board	4
Board Leadership Structure	5
Lead Director	6
Code of Ethics and Code of Conduct	6
Nomination of Directors	6
Director Resignation Policy	7
Stock Ownership Guidelines and Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy	7
Other Key Governance Matters	8
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	9
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	9
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS	9
STOCK OWNERSHIP AND SECTION 16 COMPLIANCE	11
Stock Ownership	11
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	12
PROPOSALS REQUIRING SHAREHOLDER APPROVAL	12
Item 1: Election of Directors	12
Item 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	15
Audit Committee Report	16
Fees of Our Registered Public Accounting Firm	17
Item 3: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	17
Item 4: Advisory vote on the Frequency of Future Advisory Votes on Executive Compensation	18
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	19
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	19
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	26
Summary Compensation Table	26
Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Transition 2016	27
Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2016	29
Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Transition 2016	29
Pension Benefits and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation	29
Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment	29
Vahaviolos Employment Agreement	31
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS AND OTHER MATTERS	32

PROXY STATEMENT

GENERAL INFORMATION

We are providing these proxy materials in connection with the solicitation by our Board of Directors of proxies to be voted at our 2017 annual meeting of shareholders (“2017 Annual Meeting”) and at any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. You are invited to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting, which will take place on May 16, 2017, beginning at 2:00 p.m., Eastern Time, at the Company’s headquarters at 195 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550. See the back cover of this proxy statement for directions. Shareholders will be admitted to the 2017 Annual Meeting beginning at 1:45 p.m., Eastern Time. Seating will be limited.

The terms “Mistras,” the “Company,” “we,” “our” and “us” mean Mistras Group, Inc. and the term “Board” means our Board of Directors, unless the context indicates otherwise. We are incorporated in the State of Delaware, our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) under the symbol “MG.” Previously, our fiscal year was June 1 to May 31, and reference to a fiscal year means the year ending May 31 of that year. For example, fiscal 2016 means the year ended May 31, 2016. On January 3, 2017, our Board approved changing our fiscal year to a calendar year effective with the year ended on December 31, 2016. Any reference to 2017 or a later year means that calendar year. This change in the fiscal year resulted in us having a seven-month transition period, commencing June 1, 2016 and ending on December 31, 2016, which will be referred to in this proxy statement as the “transition period” or “transition 2016.”

Proxy Solicitation. The accompanying proxy is being solicited by our Board. The Notice of Annual Meeting and this proxy statement and proxy card or voting instructions are first being distributed to shareholders on or about April 17, 2017. In addition to this solicitation, employees of the Company may solicit proxies in person or by telephone. All costs of the solicitation of proxies will be borne by the Company. On the proxy included in the materials, a shareholder of record (that is, a shareholder who holds the shares in his or her own name with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company) may substitute the name of another person in place of those persons presently named as proxies. In order to vote, a substitute proxy must present adequate identification to the Corporate Secretary or Inspector of Election for the meeting before the voting occurs. If you hold your shares in “street name” (that is, in the name of a bank, broker or other holder of record), contact your bank, broker or other holder of record for instructions and authorization to have someone attend the meeting for you.

At the 2017 Annual Meeting, the proxies appointed by the Board (the persons named in the proxy card or voting instructions) will vote your shares as you instruct. If you complete and submit your proxy as instructed without indicating how you would like to vote your shares, your proxy will be voted as the Board recommends.

Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. While we are mailing proxy materials to shareholders, we are also using the Internet as a means of furnishing proxy materials to shareholders as permitted by the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Consequently, many shareholders will not receive paper copies of our proxy materials. This makes the proxy distribution process more efficient and less costly, and helps conserve natural resources. If you previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, these materials will continue to be sent via email unless you change your election.

Voting Recommendation of the Board. The Board recommends that shareholders vote:

- FOR each of the seven nominees of the Board of Directors (Item 1);
- FOR the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2017 (Item 2);
- FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers (Item 3); and
- FOR an annual advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation (Item 4).

Shareholders Entitled to Vote, Quorum. Shareholders of record of our common stock at the close of business on April 6, 2017 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the 2017 Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements

of the meeting. Each share entitles its owner to one vote. The holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at the meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy in order to constitute a quorum for all matters to come before the meeting. Both abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum. On the record date, we had 28,581,251 shares outstanding.

Votes Needed. The following sets forth the votes needed for each agenda item to pass.

Agenda Item	Votes Needed
1. Election of Directors	Plurality, meaning the seven nominees receiving the most votes for their election will be elected.
2. Ratification of Appointment of Auditors	Majority of the shares of common stock present or represented at the meeting.
3. Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	Majority of the shares of common stock present or represented at the meeting.
4. Advisory Vote on the Frequency of Future Advisory Votes on Executive Compensation.	Majority of the shares of common stock present or represented at the meeting.

For Item 1, Election of Directors, as set forth in the Director Resignation Policy described on Page 7, each director has agreed that if he or she receives more “Withheld” votes than “For” votes, the director will tender his or her resignation for consideration by the Corporate Governance Committee and the Board. Abstentions from voting on Item 2 will have the practical effect of a vote against that proposal because an abstention results in one less vote for the proposal. For any of Items 3 through 4, abstentions from voting and broker non-votes will have the practical effect of a vote against the proposal because an abstention or broker non-vote results in one less vote for the proposal.

If you hold your shares through a bank or broker and you do not instruct your bank or broker how to vote your shares, these shares are considered broker non-votes. Brokers may not vote your shares on the election of directors or on Items 3 or 4 in the absence of your specific instructions as to how to vote. See “*Effect of Not Casting Your Vote*” below.

How to Vote. Shares held in your name as the shareholder of record may be voted by you in person at the 2017 Annual Meeting. Shares held beneficially in street name may be voted by you in person at the 2017 Annual Meeting only if you obtain a legal proxy from the broker, trustee or nominee that holds your shares giving you the right to vote the shares. Even if you plan to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting, we recommend that you also submit your proxy or voting instructions as described below so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the meeting.

You have the option of voting your shares over the Internet, by telephone or completing and returning a proxy card or voting instruction card. Voting over the Internet or telephone authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares as you direct. If you receive paper copies of our proxy materials and a proxy card or voting instruction card, you can also vote by marking, signing, and returning your proxy card or voting instruction card as directed in the materials you receive. More information on how to vote by proxy is included in the proxy materials.

If any matters are properly presented for consideration at the 2017 Annual Meeting, including, among other things, consideration of a motion to adjourn the 2017 Annual Meeting to another time or place (including for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies), the persons named in the proxy card will have discretion to vote on those matters in accordance with their best judgment. We do not currently anticipate that any other matters will be raised at the 2017 Annual Meeting.

Changing Your Vote. You may change your vote at any time before the proxy is exercised. If you vote by mail, you may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted by executing and delivering a timely and valid later-dated proxy, by voting by ballot at the meeting or by giving written notice to the Secretary at Mistras Group, 195 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550. If you vote over the Internet or by telephone, you may also change your vote with a timely and valid later Internet or telephone vote, as the case may be, or by voting by ballot at the meeting and notifying the Corporate Secretary or Inspector of Election that you are changing your earlier vote. Attendance at the meeting will not have the effect of revoking a proxy unless you give proper written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary or Inspector of Election before the proxy is exercised or you vote by ballot at the meeting.

Effect of Not Casting Your Vote. If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, you are a record holder of your shares of Mistras common stock. If you hold your shares through a bank, broker or other intermediary, which is commonly referred to as holding your shares in “street name,” you are a beneficial holder but not a record holder. If you hold your shares in street name and want your shares to count in the election of directors, Item 1, or on Item 3 or 4, you will need to instruct your bank or broker how you want your shares voted. If you hold your shares in street name and you do not instruct your bank or broker how to vote in the election of directors or on Items 3 or 4, no votes will be cast on your behalf on any of these items for which you did not provide voting instructions. Your bank or broker will have discretion to vote any uninstructed shares on the ratification of the appointment of the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm (Item 2).

If you are a shareholder of record and do not return your proxy or attend the meeting, your shares will not be considered present at the meeting for voting purposes or determining whether we have a quorum and no votes will be cast for your shares at the meeting. If you return your proxy but do not cast your vote on your proxy, your shares will be voted as directed by the Board, which will be in favor of all the nominees listed in Item 1 and in favor of Items 2 through 4. If you return your proxy but abstain, no votes will be cast on your behalf on any of the items of business at the meeting, but your shares will be counted for determining whether a quorum is present to conduct the meeting.

Tabulating the Votes. A representative from Broadridge Financial Services will tabulate the votes and will serve as Inspector of Election at the 2017 Annual Meeting.

Voting Results. We will announce preliminary voting results at the meeting. Voting results will also be disclosed in a Form 8-K filed with the SEC soon after the meeting, which will be available on our website.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Overview

Our Board is committed to maintaining good corporate governance practices and believes this is an important element of our long-term success and the enhancement of shareholder value. The Board has adopted and adheres to corporate governance guidelines and practices that the Board and senior management believe are sound and promote this purpose. Our Board continuously reviews our governance practices and updates them, as appropriate, based upon Delaware law (the state in which we are incorporated), NYSE rules and listing standards, and SEC regulations, as well as best practices suggested by recognized governance authorities.

All of our relevant corporate governance documents are available on the corporate governance section of the investor page at our website at <http://investors.mistrasgroup.com/governance.cfm>. At this site, shareholders can view our:

- Audit Committee Charter
- By-Laws
- Certificate of Incorporation
- Code of Conduct
- Code of Ethics for Executive Officers and Senior Financial Officers and Managers
- Compensation Committee Charter
- Complaint Procedures for Accounting and Auditing Matters
- Corporate Governance Committee Charter
- Corporate Governance Guidelines
- Director Nominating Process and Policy
- Director Qualification Criteria
- Director Resignation Policy
- Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy
- Insider Trading Compliance Policy
- Related Person Transaction Policy
- Securityholder Communication Policy
- Stock Ownership Guidelines

Board of Directors and Director Independence

Our Board has set the number of directors for the Board at seven and currently the seven members consist of Nicholas DeBenedictis, James J. Forese, Richard H. Glanton, Michael J. Lange, Manuel N. Stamatakis, Sotirios J. Vahaviolos and W. Curtis Weldon.

In February 2017, the Board and Corporate Governance Committee undertook a review of the independence of the directors and considered whether any director has a relationship with us that would preclude a determination of independence within the meaning of the rules of the NYSE. As a result of this review, our Board determined that Messrs. DeBenedictis, Forese, Glanton, Stamatakis and Weldon, representing five of our seven directors and all of our non-employee directors, are “independent directors” as defined under the NYSE rules because none of these directors had any material relationships with the Company.

In making this determination, the Board took into account that one of Mr. Stamatakis’ companies, Capital Management Enterprise (“CME”), provides benefits consulting services to the Company. The Company did not pay any fees to CME in calendar 2016, including transition 2016. Taking into account all the facts and circumstances, the Board determined that this relationship does not interfere with or impair Mr. Stamatakis’ ability to be independent from management.

Committees of the Board

Our Board has established three standing committees: Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance Committee. Each committee operates pursuant to a written charter and all committees are comprised solely of independent directors. The composition of the committees is set forth below and a description of each committee follows.

Director	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Corporate Governance Committee
Nicholas DeBenedictis	Member		
James Forese	Chair	Member	
Richard Glanton		Chair	Member
Manuel Stamatakis	Member	Member	Chair
Curtis Weldon			Member

Audit Committee

Our Board has determined that each member of our Audit Committee meets the requirements for independence and financial literacy and that Messrs. DeBenedictis and Forese qualify as audit committee financial experts under the applicable requirements of the NYSE and SEC rules and regulations. The Audit Committee is responsible for, among other things:

- selecting and hiring our independent registered public accounting firm and approving the audit and non-audit services to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm;
- evaluating the qualifications, performance and independence of our independent registered public accounting firm;
- monitoring the integrity of our financial statements and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements as they relate to financial statements and accounting matters;
- reviewing the adequacy and effectiveness of our internal control policies and procedures;

- discussing the scope and results of the audit with the independent registered public accounting firm and reviewing with management and the independent registered public accounting firm our interim and year-end operating results; and
- preparing the audit committee report included in our proxy statement.

Compensation Committee

All of the members of our Compensation Committee qualify as independent. The Compensation Committee is responsible for, among other things:

- reviewing and approving the following for our executive officers: annual base salaries, cash and equity incentive compensation, including specific goals, targets and amounts, other equity compensation, employment agreements, severance and change in control arrangements and any other benefits, compensation or arrangements;
- reviewing and recommending, in conjunction with the Corporate Governance Committee, compensation programs for outside directors;
- reviewing and approving the compensation discussion and analysis and issuing the compensation committee report included in our proxy statement;
- appointing, overseeing and determining the work and compensation of any compensation consultant, independent legal counsel or other adviser retained by the compensation committee; and
- administering, reviewing and making recommendations with respect to our equity compensation plans.

Corporate Governance Committee

Our Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for, among other things:

- assisting our Board in identifying prospective director nominees and recommending to the Board nominees for election at each annual meeting of shareholders;
- reviewing our corporate governance principles and practices and recommending changes, as appropriate, in light of developments in governance practices;
- overseeing the evaluation of our Board and management;
- reviewing succession planning;
- recommending members for each Board committee to our Board; and
- reviewing and monitoring our code of conduct and actual and potential conflicts of interest of members of our Board and our executive officers.

Board Leadership Structure

Under our corporate governance guidelines, the Board does not have a policy on whether or not the roles of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, should be separate or combined. The Board believes it should be free to determine what is best for the Company at a given point in time. We have not separated the roles of Chairman and CEO, with the Company's founder and 42% shareholder, Sotirios Vahaviolos, serving in that dual role. The independent directors believe that the Company's current model of the combined Chairman/CEO role in conjunction with the Lead Director position is the appropriate leadership structure for Mistras at this time.

The independent directors believe that each of the possible leadership structures for a board of directors has its own advantages and disadvantages, which must be considered in the context of the specific circumstances, culture and

challenges facing a company. The combined Chairman/CEO model is a leadership model that has served our shareholders well, as our Chairman and CEO, Dr. Vahaviolos, is the founder who built the Company from the beginning. Dr. Vahaviolos' combined role enables decisive leadership, ensures clear accountability, and enhances the Company's ability to communicate its message and strategy clearly and consistently to our shareholders, employees, customers and other constituents. Dr. Vahaviolos possesses detailed, in-depth knowledge of the issues, opportunities and challenges we face and is thus best positioned to develop agendas that ensure that the time and attention of the Board are focused on the most critical matters. This structure also enables our Chairman and CEO to act as a bridge between management and the Board, helping both to act with a common purpose. In addition, the Corporate Governance Committee and the other independent directors take into account Dr. Vahaviolos' 42% ownership interest in the Company and how that aligns him with the interests of all shareholders. The Corporate Governance Committee and the independent directors intend to review periodically this structure to ensure it remains appropriate for us.

Effective June 1, 2016, Dennis Bertolotti was promoted to President and Chief Operating Officer and Jonathan Wolk and Michael Lange were each elevated to Senior Executive Vice President. These changes enable Dr. Vahaviolos to provide the Board with broader interaction with senior management, provide a leadership structure better suited for a larger company and enhance the succession planning for Dr. Vahaviolos in the future. This also provides Dr. Vahaviolos and the Board with a more flexible alternative should a change in the Board leadership structure be appropriate in the future.

Lead Director

The Board established the position of independent Lead Director, which the Board determined should be the chair of the Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Stamatakis currently serves as the chair of the Corporate Governance Committee and the Lead Director. The Lead Director serves as a liaison between management and non-management members of the Board; participates in setting the agenda for Board meetings; leads the executive sessions, including follow up actions; and is involved in other governance matters.

Code of Ethics and Code of Conduct

We have a Code of Ethics for Executive Officers and Senior Financial Officers and Managers, which applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and all other executive officers, accounting officers, controllers, persons performing similar functions, and other senior finance and accounting managers. This code of ethics requires that our executive officers and financial leaders act with honesty, integrity and a high level of ethics. This code also requires full, fair, timely and accurate reporting and disclosure of information in reports to the SEC and to the public. We have also adopted a Code of Conduct that applies to all of our employees, officers and directors. Our Code of Conduct establishes guidelines for honesty and professionalism we expect all Mistras directors, officers and employees to follow at all times when representing or working for Mistras and is intended to foster an atmosphere of high integrity and accountability. The Code of Conduct requires all employees to comply with all laws and regulations and addresses issues such as dealing with customers and suppliers, protecting valuable company assets, avoiding conflicts of interest, and other matters involving good corporate conduct.

Nomination of Directors

The Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for identifying individuals qualified to become Board members and for recommending nominees to the Board for election at the annual meeting of shareholders. To facilitate this process, the Corporate Governance Committee and the Board adopted our Director Nominating Process and Policy and the Director Qualification Criteria. The Director Nominating Process and Policy and the Director Qualification Criteria articulate a process and qualifications that are clear, specific and prudent to help the Corporate Governance Committee and the Board identify and select the most qualified directors to meet our needs and provide a well-functioning Board.

In accordance with the policy, the Corporate Governance Committee will take into account the Board's current and anticipated strengths and needs. Among the criteria considered by the committee are experience or expertise in accounting, finance, management, international business, compensation, corporate governance, strategy, general business matters and industry knowledge, as well as diversity within the Board. While the Board does not have a

specific policy on Board diversity, it is one aspect the Corporate Governance Committee and the Board take into account when considering potential director candidates.

As set forth in the Director Qualification Criteria, the Board seeks candidates for director who possess the following: (1) the highest level of integrity and ethical character, (2) personal and professional reputation consistent with the Company's image and reputation, (3) sound judgment, (4) financial literacy, (5) independence, (6) significant experience and proven superior performance in professional endeavors, (7) an appreciation for board and team performance, (8) the commitment to devote the time necessary for service on our Board, (9) skills in areas that will benefit the Board and (10) the ability to make a long-term commitment to serve on the Board. The Corporate Governance Committee is also cognizant of having at least one independent director who meets the definition of an audit committee financial expert under SEC rules.

The Corporate Governance Committee may rely on various sources to identify potential director nominees. These include input from directors, management, others the Corporate Governance Committee considers reliable, and professional search firms. The Corporate Governance Committee will consider director nominations made by a shareholder or other sources (including self-nominees) if these individuals meet our Director Qualification Criteria. If a candidate proposed by a shareholder or other source meets the criteria, the individual will be considered on the same basis as other candidates. For consideration by the Corporate Governance Committee, the submission of a candidate must be sent to the attention of the Corporate Secretary at our headquarters at 195 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550. The submission should be received by January 5, 2018 in order to receive adequate consideration for the 2018 annual meeting and must include sufficient details to demonstrate that the potential candidate meets the Director Qualification Criteria. For a shareholder to nominate a director for election, the shareholder must meet the requirements of our bylaws and make the nomination in the time required by our bylaws, as set forth on page 32 under "Shareholder Proposals and Other Matters."

Director Resignation Policy

The Board has a Director Resignation Policy which provides that, in an uncontested election for directors, if a nominee for director receives more votes "withheld" or "against" than votes "for" his or her election, the director will promptly tender an offer of his or her resignation following certification of the shareholder vote. An uncontested election is any election of directors in which the number of nominees for election is less than or equal to the number of directors to be elected.

The Corporate Governance Committee will consider and recommend to the Board whether to accept the resignation offer, which the independent members of the Board will decide. The Corporate Governance Committee and Board will evaluate any such tendered resignation based upon the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. When deciding the action to take, the Board could accept or turn down the offer of resignation or decide to pursue additional actions such as the following:

- allow the director to remain on the Board but not be nominated for re-election to the Board at the next election of directors;
- defer acceptance of the resignation until the vacancy the resignation will create can be filled by the Board with a replacement director meeting the necessary qualifications; or
- allow the director to remain on the Board if, in the view of the Corporate Governance Committee, the director has or is expected to correct the reason for the negative vote.

In addition, the policy provides that if a director's principal occupation or business association changes substantially during his or her tenure as a director, the director shall tender his or her resignation for consideration by the Corporate Governance Committee. The Corporate Governance Committee, in consultation with the Chairman of the Board, will recommend to the Board the action, if any, to be taken with respect to the resignation.

Stock Ownership Guidelines and Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy

The Board adopted stock ownership guidelines for all directors and executive officers. Non-employee directors are required to hold all shares awarded during the prior three years (excluding shares a director has elected to take in lieu of cash fees). The guidelines for our executive officers are discussed on page 25 in the Compensation Discussion and

Analysis. The Board has also adopted an Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy (often referred to as a claw-back policy), which is also discussed on page 25 in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Other Key Governance Matters

Executive Sessions. The Audit Committee met four times and the Compensation Committee met once during transition 2016 in executive session without the Chairman and CEO or any other members of management present. The independent directors met twice during transition 2016 in executive session, without the Chairman and CEO or any other member of management present.

Board Oversight of Risk Management. The Board believes that overseeing how management manages the various risks the Company faces is one of its important responsibilities. The risk oversight function is led by the Board and the Audit Committee, but some areas are administered by committees tasked by the Board with oversight of specific risks, as summarized below.

Board/Committee	Primary Areas of Risk Oversight
Full Board of Directors	Strategic, financial and execution risks and exposures associated with the annual plan, and strategic planning (including matters affecting capital allocation); other matters that may present material risk to the company's operations, plans, prospects or reputation; and acquisitions and divestitures (including through post-closing reviews).
Audit Committee	Risks and exposures associated with financial matters, particularly financial reporting, tax, accounting, disclosure, internal control over financial reporting, financial policies, investment guidelines and credit and liquidity matters; compliance matters; and management's risk management programs.
Corporate Governance Committee	Risks and exposures relating to our programs and policies for corporate governance and succession planning.
Compensation Committee	Risks and exposures associated with leadership assessment, management development, and executive compensation programs and arrangements, including incentive plans. The Compensation Committee reviews compensation arrangements and programs to ensure that they do not create incentives for employees to take excessive or inappropriate risks which could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

The Board and its committees receive information and reports from management on the status of the Company and the risks associated with the Company's strategy and business plans.

The Board believes the combined role of Chairman and CEO is an effective structure for the Board to understand the risks associated with the Company's strategic plans and objectives, particularly in light of Dr. Vahaviolos' 42% ownership, his history as our founder and his stature in and knowledge of the asset integrity management and non-destructive testing, or NDT, industry. Additionally, maintaining an independent Board with a Lead Director permits open discussion and assessment of our ability to manage these risks.

Board Meetings. During transition 2016, the Board, the Compensation Committee and the Corporate Governance Committee each had two meetings and the Audit Committee held five meetings. Each director attended at least 75% of the total meetings of the Board and the committees on which he served.

Annual Meeting Attendance. The Company expects all directors to attend the annual meeting of shareholders. All of our directors elected at our 2016 annual shareholders meeting attended the meeting.

Communication with the Board. Shareholders, employees and others may contact the Board or any of the Company's directors (including the Lead Director) by writing to them c/o Corporate Secretary, Mistras Group, 195 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550. The Company's process for handling communications to the Board or the individual directors is set forth in our Securityholder Communication Policy.

Term Limits; Mandatory Retirement. The Board has decided not to have term limits or a mandatory retirement age for directors. The Board believes that directors should be evaluated based upon their abilities and contributions to the Board; and upon assessment of that individual's qualities and qualifications to continue to serve as a director on the board. Term limits and mandatory retirement may deprive the Board of a valuable member with great insight and detailed knowledge of us and our industry.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

For transition 2016, non-employee directors received fees of \$15,000 per quarter and an equity grant of \$37,500 in shares of our common stock. The committee chairperson quarterly fees were \$2,500 for the Audit Committee and \$1,875 for the Compensation Committee and for the Corporate Governance Committee. The director fees and committee chair fees are paid quarterly in cash, but directors could elect to receive these cash fees in shares of our common stock, which Mr. Stamatakis and Mr. DeBenedictis have elected to do. The quarterly fees are the same on an annualized basis as the fiscal 2016 fees.

The following is the compensation of our non-employee directors in transition 2016.

	Cash	Stock (1)	Total(2)
Nicholas DeBenedictis	–	\$67,504	\$67,504
James Forese	\$52,500	\$37,499	\$89,999
Richard Glanton	\$50,625	\$37,499	\$88,124
Manuel Stamatakis	–	\$71,239	\$71,239
W. Curtis Weldon	\$45,000	\$37,499	\$82,499

- (1) Stock awards are valued based upon the grant date fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, which utilizes the closing price on the grant date. However, for purposes of determining the number of shares awarded to directors, the Company used the average of the high and low trading prices over a three trading day period ending on the grant date, which is the reason for the difference between the award values above and the intended market value, using the three trading day average.
- (2) Differences in compensation resulted from the timing of payments for cash compensation versus grants of equity which were taken in lieu of cash.

For 2017, the director compensation will remain the same as the fiscal 2016 compensation.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

During transition 2016, Messrs. Forese, Glanton and Stamatakis served as members of our Compensation Committee. None of the members of our Compensation Committee has been an officer or employee of Mistras, or had any other relationship with us requiring disclosure in this proxy statement. None of our executive officers currently serves, or in the past year has served, as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving on our Board or Compensation Committee.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Policy and Procedure for Approval of Related Person Transactions

We have a written Related Person Transaction Policy, which requires the approval or ratification by the Corporate Governance Committee for any transaction or series of transactions exceeding \$120,000 in which we are a participant and any related person has a material interest for which disclosure is required under Item 404(a) of SEC Regulation S-K. Related persons include our directors, director nominees, executive officers and their family members and persons controlling more than 5% of our common stock.

Under the Related Person Transaction Policy, all our directors and executive officers have a duty to report to the Chairman, General Counsel or Lead Director potential conflicts of interest or transactions with related persons. Management has established procedures for monitoring transactions that could be subject to approval or ratification under the Policy.

Once a related person transaction has been identified, the Corporate Governance Committee, and in some cases the Audit Committee, will review all the relevant facts and circumstances and approve or disapprove of the entry into the transaction. The Corporate Governance Committee will take into account, among other factors, whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable to us than terms generally available from an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction. If advance approval of a transaction by the Corporate Governance Committee is not feasible, the transaction will be considered for ratification at the Corporate Governance Committee's next regularly scheduled meeting.

Transactions with Related Persons

There are no family relationships between or among any of our directors, nominees and executive officers.

The following are transactions between us and related persons during transition 2016. The Corporate Governance Committee and all independent directors reviewed and preapproved the stock repurchase agreement with Dr. Vahaviolos described below. The Corporate Governance Committee has reviewed all the other transactions previously and has either pre-approved or ratified each transaction which required the committee's approval or ratification.

On August 17, 2016, we entered into an agreement with Dr. Vahaviolos, which provides for us to repurchase up to 1 million shares of our common stock from Dr. Vahaviolos. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we will repurchase \$2 million worth of shares each month, based upon a purchase price equal to 98% of the average daily closing price of our common stock for the preceding month. No repurchases will be made for any month in which the average daily closing price is below \$21.00 or above \$29.00. The agreement will terminate upon the earlier of the following to occur: (a) 1 million shares are repurchased, (b) for a period of 4 consecutive months, no shares are repurchased because the average daily closing price for each of those months was either below the minimum of \$21.00 or above the maximum of \$29.00, or (c) upon written notice by either party (in which case Dr. Vahaviolos and the Company will not enter into a similar agreement for a period of six months). Pursuant to this agreement, we purchased approximately 274,000 shares for \$6 million at an average purchase price of \$21.90 during transition 2016; and we purchased approximately 256,000 shares for \$6 million at an average purchase price of \$23.41 during the first quarter of 2017.

We lease our headquarters located at 195 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, New Jersey, from an entity majority-owned by Dr. Vahaviolos. The lease provides for monthly payments of approximately \$74,000 through October 31, 2015, with annual increases of 3% to a maximum monthly payment of approximately \$96,500 during the last year of the lease term, which expires October 31, 2024. The monthly payments during transition 2016 were approximately \$76,000 for June to September and \$78,500 for November and December 2016.

Our French subsidiary leases office space located at 27 Rue Magellan, Sucy-en-Brie, France, which is partly owned by Dr. Vahaviolos. The lease terminated January 2016, after which the lease was extended on a month-to-month basis. During transition 2016, our French subsidiary paid approximately \$100,000 in rent.

Our subsidiary in Greece entered into an employment agreement with the daughter of Dr. Vahaviolos pursuant to which she serves as its Vice President and Managing Director. The employment agreement provides for a monthly salary and other compensation, including incentive bonuses, plus reimbursement of certain expenses. During transition 2016, Dr. Vahaviolos' daughter received less than \$100,000 in total compensation and benefits. In addition, Dr. Vahaviolos' daughter personally guaranteed payments on a lease for office space and other obligations of our Greek subsidiary. We have agreed to indemnify Dr. Vahaviolos' daughter should she make any payments or incur any costs or loss on account of her guaranty.

In connection with our Class B Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock financing prior to our initial public offering in October 2009, we entered into an investor rights agreement with our preferred stockholders, including

Dr. Vahaviolos. This agreement grants Dr. Vahaviolos registration rights with respect to shares of our common stock which were issued to him at the time of our IPO resulting from the conversion of his shares of preferred stock.

STOCK OWNERSHIP AND SECTION 16 COMPLIANCE

Stock Ownership

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of March 31, 2017 by (1) each of our directors, (2) each of the executive officers named in the summary compensation table, (3) all our directors and executive officers as a group, and (4) all other shareholders known by us to own beneficially more than five percent of our common stock.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and includes voting or investment power with respect to the securities. Shares of common stock that may be acquired by an individual or group within 60 days of March 31, 2017 (May 30, 2017), pursuant to the exercise of options or warrants, are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of such individual or group, but are not for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person shown in the table. As of March 31, 2017, we had 28,581,251 shares of common stock outstanding.

We believe that the shareholders named in this table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown to be beneficially owned by them, based on information provided to us by such shareholders, except as disclosed otherwise. The address for the directors and named executive officers listed below is c/o Mistras Group, 195 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550.

Name	Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Class
Directors and Officers		
Sotirios J. Vahaviolos (1)	12,990,475	42.4%
Nicholas DeBenedictis (2)	31,479	*
James J. Forese	68,606	*
Richard H. Glanton	15,408	*
Michael J. Lange (1)	428,643	1.5%
Manuel N. Stamatakis	43,676	*
W. Curtis Weldon	9,660	*
Jonathan H. Wolk (1)	84,968	*
Dennis Bertolotti (1)	126,889	*
Michael C. Keefe (1)	57,760	*
Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (1)	13,857,564	44.7%
Other 5% Holders		
Wellington Management Group LLP and affiliates (3)	2,662,894	9.3%

* Indicates beneficial ownership of less than 1% of the total outstanding common stock.

- (1) Includes options to purchase common stock exercisable as of March 31, 2017 or within 60 days thereafter and all unvested restricted stock units with only time-based restrictions (“RSUs”) for the following amounts:

	Options	RSUs	Total
Sotirios J. Vahaviolos	1,950,000	127,587	2,077,587
Michael J. Lange	139,358	66,522	205,880
Jonathan H. Wolk	-	63,567	63,567
Dennis Bertolotti	-	66,975	66,975
Michael C. Keefe	-	40,799	40,799
Directors and Executive Officers as a Group	2,089,358	365,451	2,454,809

- (2) Includes 15,000 shares owned by Mr. DeBenedictis' spouse.
- (3) Based upon a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2016 on behalf of Wellington Management Group LLP, Wellington Group Holdings LLP, Wellington Investment Advisors Holdings LLP, and Wellington Management Company LLP. The address is c/o Wellington Management Company LLP, 280 Congress Street, Boston, MA 02210.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

We believe that during transition 2016, all reports for our executive officers and directors that were required to be filed under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 were filed on a timely basis.

PROPOSALS REQUIRING SHAREHOLDER APPROVAL

ITEM 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At the recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee, the Board has recommended that all of the current members of our Board of Directors be nominated for re-election to the Board. Directors who are elected at the 2017 Annual Meeting will serve a one-year term expiring at the 2018 annual shareholders meeting or until their successors have been elected and qualified, or until their death or resignation.

The following contains the background, experience and other information about the nominees. Following each nominee's biographical information, we have provided information concerning the particular experience, qualifications, attributes and/or skills that contributed to the determination by the Corporate Governance Committee and the Board that the nominee should serve as a director. A majority of our independent directors serve or have served on boards and board committees (including, in many cases, as committee chairs) of other public companies, which we believe provides them with additional board leadership and governance experience, exposure to best practices, and substantial knowledge and skills that further enhance the functioning of our Board. In addition, Messrs. Forese, Lange and Stamatakis and Dr. Vahaviolos have been on our Board for over ten years and have a wealth of knowledge about our business, industry and corporate culture that provides great value to the functioning and decision-making of the Board.

We believe that each nominee for election as director will be able to serve if elected. If any nominee is not able to serve, proxies will be voted in favor of the remainder of those nominated and may be voted for substitute nominees, unless the Board chooses to reduce the number of directors serving on the Board.

Nominees:

Nicholas DeBenedictis

Director since 2015; Age 71

Nicholas DeBenedictis served as Chief Executive Officer of Aqua America, Inc. from 1992 until his retirement in July 2015, and has been the Chairman of the Board of Aqua America since May 1993, becoming the non-executive Chairman of the Board upon his retirement as CEO in 2015. He also served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Aqua America's principal subsidiaries, including Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. Mr. DeBenedictis served as Senior Vice President for Corporate Affairs of PECO Energy Company (now known as Exelon Corporation) from 1989 until 1992; as President of the Greater Philadelphia Chamber of Commerce from December 1986 to April 1989; and as the

Secretary of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources from 1983 to 1986. Mr. DeBenedictis is also a director of Exelon Corporation and P.H. Glatfelter Company, and also serves on the boards of Pennsylvania area non-profit, civic and business organizations. Mr. DeBenedictis received a B.S. in business administration and a M.S. in environmental engineering and science from Drexel University.

The Board believes that Mr. DeBenedictis is a qualified candidate because of his knowledge, experience and demonstrated success from serving for over 20 years as the chairman and chief executive officer of a substantial public company. He has also served as an executive of a major electric utility, the head of Pennsylvania's environmental regulatory agency, and as a director of two other public companies in addition to his role as Chairman at Aqua America, including, from time to time, as a member of the corporate governance, audit, finance and compensation committees of those companies. The Board believes that the experience, insights and knowledge Mr. DeBenedictis has from his leadership roles in business and community activities are important qualifications, skills and experience that will provide valuable assistance to the Board and greatly contribute to the overall knowledge of the Board and its ability to address the issues the Board and the Company confront.

James J. Forese

Director since 2005; Age 81

Mr. Forese is an Operating Partner and Chief Operating Officer of HCI Equity Partners, positions he has held since he joined the firm in July 2003. Prior to joining HCI Equity Partners, Mr. Forese served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of IKON Office Solutions, Inc. (formerly Alco Standard Corporation) from 1998 to 2002 and retired as Chairman in 2003. Before IKON, Mr. Forese served as Controller and Vice President of Finance at IBM Corporation and Chairman at IBM Credit Corporation. Mr. Forese was a director and a Chairman of the audit committee and a member of the compensation committee and environmental, health & safety committee of Progressive Waste Solutions, and non-executive chairman since January 2010 until its merger with Waste Connections, Inc. in January 2017, and serves on the board of directors of several private companies. Mr. Forese also served as a director, audit committee chair and member of the compensation committee of Anheuser-Busch Companies Inc. from April 2003 until November 2008 and was on the board of directors of SFN Group (formerly Spherion Corporation) from 2003 until its acquisition by Randstad North America in September 2011, and was its non-executive chairman and chairman of the corporate governance and nominating committee. Mr. Forese was also formerly a director of Lexmark International, NUI Corporation, Southeast Bank Corporation, Unisource Worldwide, Inc. and American Management Systems, Incorporated. Mr. Forese received a B.E.E. in Electrical Engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and an M.B.A. from Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

The Board believes Mr. Forese, as a result of his vast experience and demonstrated success as an executive, possesses knowledge and experience in various areas, including business leadership, banking, finance, technology, and public and private company board experience, which strengthens the Board's overall knowledge, capabilities and experience. In addition, Mr. Forese's experience with audit committees and his tenure as Vice President of Finance and Controller at IBM provides the Board with an audit committee financial expert which further strengthens key functions of the Board and Audit Committee, such as oversight of financial reporting and internal controls.

Richard H. Glanton

Director since 2009; Age 70

Mr. Glanton is Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Philadelphia Television Network, a privately-held media company and managing member of ElectedFace LLC, an on-line service that connects people to elected officials. From May 2003 to May 2007, Mr. Glanton served as Senior Vice President of Corporate Development for Exelon Corporation and from 1986 to 2003, he was a partner in the law firm of Reed Smith LLP in Philadelphia. Mr. Glanton currently is a director of Aqua America, Inc., where he is chairman of the corporate governance committee and serves on the executive committee of the Board; a director of The GEO Group, Inc., where he is chairman of the audit and finance committee and the compensation committee and serves on the nominating and corporate governance committee, executive committee and various other standing committees; and is a member of the Board of Trustees of Lincoln University. Mr. Glanton has more than 25 years of legal experience in law firms, over a decade of executive experience and has close to 30 years of continuous experience serving on boards of publicly traded companies. Mr. Glanton received a B.A. in English from West Georgia College and a J.D. from University of Virginia School of Law.

The Board believes Mr. Glanton's experience and knowledge in acquisitions, the power utility industry, legal and general business matters, his extensive experience as a director of publicly traded companies and his demonstrated leadership roles in other business activities are important qualifications, skills and experience that benefits the Board. His extensive corporate finance and legal knowledge also contribute to the Board's collective knowledge, capabilities and experience.

Michael J. Lange

Director since 2003; Age 56

Mr. Lange is Vice Chairman and Senior Executive Vice President, Strategic Planning and Business Development for the Company. Mr. Lange joined Mistras when it acquired Quality Services Laboratories in November 2000. Mr. Lange is a well-recognized authority in radiography and has held an American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT) Level III Certificate for over 20 years. Mr. Lange received an Associate of Science degree in NDT from the Spartan School of Aeronautics.

The Board believes that Mr. Lange's extensive knowledge and experience in the NDT field, and the business acumen and leadership he has demonstrated by the growth of the Services segment since he joined the Company in 2000, provides an important operational and industry perspective that is valuable to the Board. In addition, Mr. Lange has been instrumental in the successful integration of numerous NDT services companies Mistras has acquired over the past several years, providing valuable insight and perspective to the Board as it considers strategies for future growth.

Manuel N. Stamatakis

Director since 2002; Age 69

Mr. Stamatakis is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital Management Enterprises, Inc., a financial services and employee benefits consulting company headquartered in Valley Forge, Pennsylvania. Mr. Stamatakis was also a founding member of First Financial Resources, a national financial services organization with over 120 offices nationwide. Over the years, Mr. Stamatakis has served on the boards of numerous not-for-profit, charitable and for-profit organizations, and currently serves, among others, as Chairman of the Board of Greater Philadelphia Tourism Marketing Corporation, where he is also a member of the audit and finance committees; Chairman of Philadelphia Shipyard Development Corporation; and Chairman of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court Investment Advisory Board. Mr. Stamatakis received a B.S. in Industrial Engineering from Pennsylvania State University and received an honorary Doctorate of Business Administration from Drexel University.

The Board believes that the vast skills, leadership, business experience and success Mr. Stamatakis has demonstrated as a founder and leader of a successful services business provides the Board with important skills, knowledge and experience, particularly those gained from starting and leading a business. Mr. Stamatakis also provides the Board with knowledge of employee benefits and related matters and with strategic and leadership skills as a founder, President and CEO of a business enterprise and as a board member of numerous not-for-profit and for-profit organizations, some of which are very significant in size and scope.

Sotirios J. Vahaviolos

Director since 1978; Age 70

Dr. Vahaviolos has been the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since he founded Mistras in 1978 under the name Physical Acoustics Corp. Prior to forming Mistras, Dr. Vahaviolos was a scientist and manager at AT&T Bell Laboratories. Dr. Vahaviolos received a B.S. in Electrical Engineering and graduated first in his engineering class from Fairleigh Dickinson University and received a Master of Science (EE), Masters in Philosophy and a Ph.D.(EE) from Columbia University School of Engineering. During Dr. Vahaviolos' career in non-destructive testing, he has been elected Fellow of (1) The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, (2) The American Society of Nondestructive Testing, and (3) The Acoustic Emission Working Group (AEWG). Dr. Vahaviolos is also a member of The American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT), where he served as its President from 1992-1993 and its Chairman from 1993-1994, a member of AEWG and an honorary life board member of the International Committee for Nondestructive Testing. Additionally, he was the recipient of ASNT's Gold Medal in 2001 and AEWG's Gold Medal in 2005. He was also one of the six founders of NDT Academia International in 2008.

Mr. Vahaviolos brings to the Board his detailed knowledge and unique perspective and insights regarding the strategic and operational opportunities and challenges, economic and industry trends, and competitive and financial positioning of our business. In addition, his significant experience as the company's founder, Chairman and CEO, his leadership of our Company over three decades during various economic cycles and through its successful initial public offering, and his 42% ownership interest in the Company, positions him well to serve as our Chairman.

W. Curtis Weldon

Director since 2014; Age 69

Mr. Weldon served 20 years in the United States Congress as Representative for the 7th District of Pennsylvania from 1987 to 2007. Mr. Weldon retired from Congress as Vice Chairman of the Armed Services Committee and Vice Chairman of the Homeland Security Committee and during his tenure also served as Vice Chair, House Armed Services Committee; Chairman, Tactical Air and Land Forces Subcommittee; Chairman, Military R&D Subcommittee; Vice Chair, Homeland Security Committee; and Member, House Science Committee. Mr. Weldon also organized and chaired the National Disaster Fire and EMS Caucus for 20 years and served as America's Honorary Fire Chief. Mr. Weldon also served on 60 Bi-Partisan Congressional Delegations to over 125 countries. Since his retirement from Congress, Mr. Weldon founded Jenkins Hill International in 2007, which provides national and international consulting services. Mr. Weldon also serves on the board of advisors or directors of numerous organizations, including the U.S. Congress Defense & Security Task Force, Department of Homeland Security Technical Advisory Panel, Center for Campus Fire Safety, and Transeco Energy Corporation, and is actively involved in fire safety and prevention and first responders organizations. Mr. Weldon received his BA in Humanities with concentration in Russian Studies from West Chester University, an associate's degree in Fire Science from Delaware County Community College and is a National Fire Prevention Association Certified Fire Protection Specialist.

The Board believes that Mr. Weldon's vast experience in Congress and his leadership roles in foreign affairs bring unique insight and experience to the Board. In addition, Mr. Weldon's experience in foreign relations in many countries will provide valuable assistance to the Company as we address various issues involving our international business. Mr. Weldon's experience with and knowledge of government will also assist the Company as we look to grow our asset protection solutions offerings for public infrastructure, such as bridges, and military equipment, such as aircrafts. Mr. Weldon's leadership in fire safety and prevention is also complimentary to our emphasis on safety and accident prevention.

Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board. The seven nominees receiving the greatest number of votes cast for their election as directors will be elected. The Board intends to vote all proxies for the election of each of these nominees, unless you indicate otherwise on your proxy card or pursuant to your voting instructions. **The Board unanimously recommends a vote FOR the election of the above-named nominees as directors.**

ITEM 2. RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has appointed KPMG LLP ("KPMG") as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2017. Shareholder ratification of the appointment is not required under the laws of the State of Delaware, but the Board has decided to ascertain the position of shareholders on the appointment. The Audit Committee will reconsider the appointment of KPMG if shareholders do not ratify the appointment. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee will still have the discretion to appoint a different independent registered public accounting firm if the committee determines that such a change would be in our and our shareholders' best interests.

A representative of KPMG is expected to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement if the KPMG representative desires to do so and to respond to appropriate questions presented at the meeting.

Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board. The ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm will be approved if a majority of the shares of common stock present or represented by proxy at the 2017 Annual Meeting vote for this item. The Board intends to vote all proxies for the ratification of KPMG, unless you indicate otherwise on your proxy card or pursuant to your voting instructions. **The Board unanimously recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of KPMG as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2017.**

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee reports to and acts on behalf of the Board of Directors of Mistras Group, Inc. (the “Company”) by providing oversight of the financial reporting process, accounting policies and procedures and the system of internal controls of the Company. The Company’s management is responsible for preparing the Company’s consolidated financial statements and designing and monitoring a system of internal controls. The Company’s independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP (“KPMG”), is responsible for performing an independent, integrated audit of the Company’s consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, and expressing its opinion on the Company’s consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting, based upon its audit. The Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing the conduct of these activities by the Company’s management and KPMG.

In this context, the Audit Committee has met and held discussions with management, the Company’s internal auditors and KPMG. These meetings also included private sessions with the internal auditors, KPMG, the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and other members of management at Audit Committee meetings and such other times as the Audit Committee deemed appropriate. Management represented to the Audit Committee that the Company’s consolidated financial statements as of and for the transition period ended December 31, 2016 were prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management, the Company’s internal auditors and KPMG. The Audit Committee also discussed with the Company’s internal auditors and KPMG the overall scope and plans for their respective audits, their evaluation of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting and the overall quality of the Company’s financial reporting process.

The Audit Committee has discussed with KPMG matters required to be discussed pursuant to Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard No. 16 (Communications with Audit Committees). In addition, KPMG provided to the Audit Committee the written disclosures required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding KPMG’s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and the Audit Committee and KPMG have discussed KPMG’s independence from the Company and its management, including the matters in those written disclosures. Additionally, the Audit Committee considered the non-audit services provided by KPMG and the fees and costs billed and expected to be billed by KPMG for those services and concluded that the provision of these services by KPMG is compatible with maintaining KPMG’s independence.

Based upon these reviews and discussions with management and KPMG, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board has approved, the inclusion of the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements in the Company’s Transition Report on Form 10-K for the transition period ended December 31, 2016 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

James Forese, Chairman
Nicholas DeBenedictis
Manuel Stamatakis

Fees of Our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The following table sets forth the fees billed by KPMG for professional services rendered for the audit of transition 2016, fiscal year 2016 and fiscal year 2015 financial statements and for the other services listed below.

	<u>Transition 2016</u>	<u>Fiscal 2016</u>	<u>Fiscal 2015</u>
Audit Fees	\$2,235,000	\$1,649,500	\$1,410,000
Audit-Related Fees	49,000	72,000	23,000
Tax Fees	–	–	25,000
All Other Fees	–	–	–
Total	<u>\$2,284,000</u>	<u>\$1,721,500</u>	<u>\$1,458,000</u>

Audit Fees: Audit fees for all periods consisted of aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for the integrated audit of our consolidated annual financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and, reviews of interim consolidated financial information.

Audit-Related Fees: Consisted of fees for performing statutory audits for certain international subsidiaries.

Tax Fees: Consisted of fees for tax consultation services.

The Audit Committee's charter provides for review and pre-approval by the Audit Committee of all audit services and permissible non-audit services, and related fees, conducted by our independent auditor. All the fees and services described above were approved by the Audit Committee and the Audit Committee concluded that the provision of such services by KPMG did not impact KPMG's independence in the conduct of its auditing function.

ITEM 3: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Board is asking our shareholders to cast an advisory vote on the compensation paid to our named executive officers as set forth in the Summary Compensation Table and other compensation tables and narratives under the "Executive Compensation" section of this proxy statement and as described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement.

The Compensation Committee of the Board recommends, approves and governs all of the compensation policies and actions for all our named executive officers. The section of this proxy statement captioned "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" provides an extensive discussion of our executive compensation programs, the role the Compensation Committee plays in overseeing and developing our compensation programs and philosophy, and the reasons for our compensation programs and the compensation provided to our named executive officers. We urge you to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive Compensation sections of this proxy statement so you may better understand our compensation programs on which you are being asked to vote.

Shareholders are being asked to approve the adoption of the following resolution:

“RESOLVED, that the shareholders of Mistras Group, Inc. (the “Company”) approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company’s proxy statement for the 2017 annual meeting of shareholders pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables, narrative disclosures and any other relevant information.”

While the results of the shareholder vote on executive compensation is non-binding, the Compensation Committee and the Board value the opinion of our shareholders and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions.

Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board. The advisory vote on executive compensation will be approved if a majority of the shares of common stock present or represented by proxy at the 2017 Annual Meeting vote FOR

this item. The Board intends to vote all proxies to approve executive compensation, unless you indicate otherwise on your proxy card or pursuant to your voting instructions. **The Board unanimously recommends that you vote FOR adoption of the resolution approving on an advisory basis the executive compensation of our named executive officers.**

ITEM 4: ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Board is also asking for an advisory vote by our shareholders on whether an advisory vote on executive compensation of the type described above should be presented at future annual shareholders meetings every one, two or three years hereafter.

We have held this vote annually since we have asked shareholders to vote on our executive compensation programs. The Compensation Committee and the Board believes that, at the present time, we should continue an annual advisory vote on executive compensation for a number of reasons. First, it will facilitate the ability of the Compensation Committee and the Board to be responsive to shareholder concerns relating to compensation. Second, it will prompt shareholders to review and evaluate the Company's compensation philosophy, policies and practices each year and provide a mechanism to voice their reaction, which will provide the Compensation Committee with timely insight into whether shareholders generally believe that our compensation programs are aligned with their interests. An advisory vote that is less frequent could mean delay in identifying and addressing any shareholder concerns. Finally, an annual vote is also consistent with the annual election of directors and annual ratification of the independent auditors.

Although the vote on the frequency of the shareholder advisory vote on executive compensation is non-binding, the Compensation Committee and the Board will consider the results in the future when they assess the frequency of the shareholder advisory votes.

Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board. Shareholders have a choice of selecting one of four choices (every one, two or three years or abstaining). The period of years receiving the most number of votes will be viewed as the advisory vote on this matter. The proxy committee appointed by the Board intends to select one year for all proxies, unless you indicate otherwise on your proxy card or pursuant to your voting instructions. **The Board unanimously recommends an advisory vote on executive compensation annually (1 year on the proxy card).**

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the following Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of the 2017 Proxy Statement. Based on our review and discussions, we have recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Proxy Statement for 2017.

Richard Glanton (Chairman)
James Forese
Manuel Stamatakis

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Executive Summary

Our executive compensation programs and policies have been developed to link incentive compensation to Company performance and increases in shareholder value and pay amounts that are reasonably competitive, fair and based upon each executive officer's contributions to performance. In transition 2016, we kept the annual cash bonus plan as it has been for the past few years, as we and the Compensation Committee believe this plan achieves our overall executive compensation objectives. In fiscal 2016, we changed the equity incentive compensation as we discussed in the proxy statement for the 2016 annual shareholder meeting and we kept that program for transition 2016. As discussed below, awards under the bonus plan and equity plan were prorated for transition 2016 to account for the seven-month transition period.

Overview and Philosophy

Our executive compensation objectives are to have compensation programs and policies that (1) align individual performance with our operational objectives, (2) attract and retain talent that is needed to be successful and achieve our strategic objectives, and (3) pay for performance. Overall, the objectives of our executive compensation programs are to achieve strategic business objectives that are aimed at growing our business profitably and aligning the long-term interests of our executives and shareholders.

Our current compensation program for our executive officers includes our "named executive officers," who are listed in the Summary Compensation Table below. We have two incentive programs, an annual cash incentive or "bonus plan" and an equity compensation plan or equity plan. The awards for both plans are based primarily on the Company's financial performance, with the bonus plan being a cash program, while the equity plan awards equity interests in the Company. The objective of the bonus plan is to pay executive officers currently in cash based on the Company's and the executives' performance that year. The "equity plan" offers the opportunity for long-term rewards that will provide incentives for our executive officers to remain with us and enhance the long-term value of the Company for the shareholders.

Role of Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is responsible for the executive compensation program design and decision-making process, with input from the Chairman and CEO. The Compensation Committee annually reviews the Chairman and CEO's performance, establishes his compensation, and reviews with the Chairman and CEO his assessment of the other members of senior management and his recommendations for their compensation. The Compensation Committee consists of three directors who meet the independence requirements of the NYSE (Messrs. Forese, Glanton and Stamatakis).

The Compensation Committee has an active role in overseeing the design and implementation of the two incentive programs. In addition, the Compensation Committee worked closely with senior management to develop a peer group for purposes of benchmarking compensation. The Compensation Committee, from time to time, utilizes the services of an independent compensation consultant, Pay Governance LLC, to review the compensation programs, assist in the development of the incentive plans and review the peer group. Pay Governance is retained directly by the Compensation Committee and any services rendered for us are as directed by the Compensation Committee.

Components of Executive Compensation for Transition Period 2016

The principal components of our current executive compensation program are base salary, the bonus plan and the equity plan awards. Although each element of compensation described below is considered separately, our Compensation Committee takes into account the aggregate compensation package for each executive officer in its determination of each individual component of that package. We also provide some benefits, such as car allowances or company vehicles, but these are not a significant portion of our compensation program.

Base salary is a fixed compensation amount paid during the fiscal year. Each named executive officer's base salary is reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee takes into account benchmarking information regarding our executive officers' base salary against an industry peer group and broader database when determining adjustments to executive officers' salaries.

The bonus plan and equity plan for our executive officers are performance based, and are tied to our results as described below. The objective of these plans is to link compensation to our performance. The Compensation Committee believes that our named executive officers should have a meaningful portion of their total compensation opportunity linked to increasing shareholder value through the Company's business strategy of focusing upon growth opportunities and continued improvements in profitability. Reflecting this philosophy, at target levels of awards for the bonus plan and equity plan, more than 50% of total compensation for all our named executive officers is performance-based, and almost 75% of our Chairman and CEO's compensation is performance-based.

Under the bonus plan, executive officers can earn a percentage of their base salary based upon our performance against specific metrics. The equity plan provides executive officers with the opportunity to earn restricted stock units ("RSUs") based on our performance against specific metrics. The metrics are given different weightings, and executive officers earn their awards based upon our performance relative to the specific metrics. In addition, each metric has a minimum threshold, below which no bonus or RSUs can be earned for that metric.

Each executive has a target award potential he or she can earn under each program expressed as a percentage of the executive's base salary. If the performance for a specific metric is at the target level, the executive will receive 100% of his or her target award related to that metric. Each executive officer can earn between 0% and 200% of his or her target award, based upon performance against specific metrics.

The following are the transition 2016 target awards for our named executive officers under each program:

Name	Transition 2016 Position	Percentage of Base Salary	
		Bonus Plan	Equity Plan
Sotirios Vahaviolos	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	85%	200%
Jonathan Wolk	Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	65%	110%
Dennis Bertolotti	President and Chief Operating Officer	70%	130%
Michael Lange	Vice Chairman and Senior Executive Vice President, Strategic Planning and Business Development	65%	110%
Michael Keefe	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	50%	80%

Bonus Plan

For transition 2016, performance metrics for the bonus plan were (i) adjusted EBITDAS, which is net income before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, non-cash stock-based compensation expense, acquisition related items, and other unusual and/or nonrecurring expenses, which accounted for 30% of the award, (ii) revenue, which accounted for 30% of the award and (iii) adjusted EBITDAS as a percent of revenue, also known as EBITDAS margin, which accounted for 20% of the award. These metrics were established at the beginning of transition 2016 based upon the Company's internal plan and budget. The remaining 20% of the award potential for all executive officers was based

upon the individual executive officer's performance relative to specific individual objectives. The metrics for all our named executive officers is based on the consolidated Company performance.

The revenue, adjusted EBITDAS and EBITDAS margin metrics were selected for the bonus plan because these are the primary metrics management and the Board use to evaluate the Company's performance. The EBITDAS margin metric was selected to provide incentives for management to continue growing the business while maintaining an appropriate level of profitability.

A minimum of 90% of the target performance level of a metric must be achieved for an executive officer to receive any award for that metric. At 90% of the target performance level, the executive officer will receive 50% of his target award related to that metric. If performance is between 90% and 100% of the target performance level for a metric, the executive officer will receive a percentage of his target award for that metric based upon a straight-line interpolation between 50% and 100%, with each 1% increase in performance against target above the 90% performance level equating to a 5% increase in percentage of target award. If the performance for a specific metric exceeds 100% of the target performance level, the executive officer will receive more than 100% of his target award related to that metric, to a maximum of 200% of his target award if the performance for the metric equals or exceeds 120% of the target performance level. If performance is between 100% and 120% of target performance level for a metric, the executive officer will receive a percentage of his target award for that metric based upon a straight-line interpolation between 100% and 200%, with each 1% increase in performance against target above the 100% level equating to a 5% increase in percentage of target award. The Compensation Committee determines the individual performance portion of the bonus plan award for the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee determine the individual performance portion of the bonus plan awards for the other executive officers.

Equity Plan

The Compensation Committee approved changes to our equity compensation plan for our executive officers for fiscal 2016 and this plan was used for transition 2016.

The equity plan for transition 2016 had three metrics that were based upon our transition 2016 performance: (i) operating income, which accounted for 35% of the award, (ii) adjusted EBITDAS, which accounted for 25% of the award, and (iii) revenue, which accounted for 20% of the award. The remaining 20% of the award potential was based upon the individual executive officer's performance. The target for each metric was based on our plan set at the beginning of transition 2016 and reviewed by the Board. The metrics for all our named executive officers is based on the consolidated Company performance.

Under the equity plan, if the performance for a specific metric is at target level, the executive will receive 100% of his target award related to that metric. Each executive officer can earn between 0% and 200% of his target award, based upon performance. A minimum of 80% of the target performance level of a metric must be achieved for an executive officer to receive any award for that metric. At 80% of performance level, the executive officer will receive 50% of his target award related to that metric. If performance is between 80% and 100% of target for a metric, the executive officer will receive a percentage of his target award for that metric based upon a straight-line interpolation between 50% and 100%, with each 1% increase in performance against target above the 80% level equating to a 2.5% increase in the percentage of target award. If the performance for a specific metric exceeds 100% of the target level, the executive officer will receive more than 100% of his target award related to that metric, to a maximum of 200% of his target award if the performance for the metric equals or exceeds 120% of target. If performance is between 100% and 120% of target performance for a metric, the executive officer will receive a percentage of his target award for that metric based upon a straight-line interpolation between 100% and 200%, with each 1% increase in performance against target above the 100% level equating to a 5% increase in the percentage of target award. The Compensation Committee determines the individual performance portion of the award for the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Compensation Committee determine the individual performance portion of the awards for the other executive officers.

Under the equity plan, the target award for each executive officer was established in RSUs based upon the stock price in August 2016 after we released results for fiscal year 2016. At the end of the fiscal period, an executive officer will be awarded RSUs based upon our performance against the metrics and the executive officer's individual performance,

which is then applied to the executive officer's target award of RSUs. For example, if an executive officer has a target award of 10,000 RSUs and the Company and individual performance results in the executive officer achieving 115% of target award, that executive officer would be awarded 11,500 RSUs. The RSUs an executive officer receives will vest 25% per year on each of the first four one-year anniversary dates of end of the fiscal year for which the RSUs are earned, the first such vesting being December 31, 2017 for the RSUs awarded for transition 2016.

Adjustments for Transition Period

On January 3, 2017, our Board approved a change in our fiscal year from May 31 to December 31, commencing with the year ended December 31, 2016. As our last prior fiscal year ended May 31, 2016, the change in the fiscal year resulted in a seven-month transition period of June 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016.

To align our executive compensation with the transition period, our Compensation Committee agreed to pro-rate awards for the bonus plan and equity plan based upon 7/12 of the target award for a full year. As for performance metrics, the Compensation Committee approved determining the performance versus target based upon the actual results for the six month-period of June 1, 2016 to November 30, 2016, the first two fiscal quarters, versus the plan or budget for the first two quarters of what would have been the fiscal year ending May 31, 2017 had we not changed the fiscal year. We used this six-month period because more rigor and analysis goes into our quarterly planning and budgeting process versus monthly planning. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee agreed that using full fiscal quarters provided a fairer method to measure performance versus plan or budget for determining executive compensation.

Benchmarking and the Role of Compensation Consultant

To assist in the assessment of the appropriateness and competitiveness of our compensation programs, management and the Compensation Committee, with the assistance of Pay Governance, developed a peer group. In deciding the companies to include in the compensation peer group, management and the Compensation Committee focused on companies that are involved in or related to the asset protection industry or industrial business services, and primarily selected firms that were generally in the range of 50% to 200% of our size with respect to revenues and/or market capitalization.

The compensation peer group consists of the following companies:

Aegion Corporation	Badger Meter Inc.
Circor International, Inc.	Exponent Inc.
Team, Inc.	Matrix Service Company

The Compensation Committee uses the peer group to assess the competitiveness of our compensation programs and the various components and to assist the Compensation Committee in making compensation decisions. The Compensation Committee considers base salaries, target award levels, total cash compensation (base salary and cash bonus awards), long-term equity compensation, and total compensation in this assessment.

Pay Governance does not perform any work for our management and is retained only by the Compensation Committee.

Transition 2016 Compensation

The following is a discussion of the decisions made on the various components of executive compensation for transition 2016 for our named executive officers.

Base Salary

Executive officers are reviewed and provided with salary adjustments, if any, after the conclusion of the fiscal period. This enables the Compensation Committee and the Chairman and CEO to make decisions after reviewing our financial performance during the then just completed fiscal year and evaluating the executive officers' performance during that period. Our executive officers' annual salaries during transition 2016 were \$504,400 for Sotirios Vahaviolos;

\$368,000 for Jonathan Wolk; \$380,000 for Dennis Bertolotti; \$345,000 for Michael Lange; and \$283,000 for Michael Keefe. For 2017, no increases are being made to base salaries for our executive officers due to the industry conditions.

Bonus Plan and Equity Plan Results

With respect to the bonus plan, the Company achieved below the target level for all the metrics. Revenue and EBITDAS margin were above the minimum threshold, but adjusted EBITDAS was below the minimum for payout. Therefore, all our named executives received more well below 100% of their target bonus. Set forth below for the annual bonus plan are the performance metrics, the weight of each metric, the target performance for the metric and the transition 2016 results for the respective metric.

Metric	Corporate Performance		
	Weight	Target	Result
Revenue	20%	\$369.7	\$345.0
Adjusted EBITDAS	30%	\$50.8	\$42.8
EBITDAS Margin	30%	13.7%	12.4%

(All dollar amounts in millions)

Similarly, we performed below target on the metrics for the equity plan and therefore all our named executive officers received less than 100% of their target RSU awards. We were above the threshold of 80% of target for revenue and adjusted EBITDAS, but below the minimum threshold for operating income. Set forth below are the metrics for the equity plan, the weight of each metric, the target performance for the metric and the transition 2016 results for the respective metric.

Metric	Weight	Target	Result
Revenue	20%	\$369.7	\$345.0
Adjusted EBITDAS	25%	\$50.8	\$42.8
Operating Income	35%	\$30.8	\$24.3

(All dollar amounts in millions)

The following are the target awards for each named executive officer for the bonus plan and the equity plan and his actual awards under each plan based upon transition 2016 results. Each named executive officer received 75% of his target for the individual award under both the annual bonus plan and the equity plan. The Compensation Committee decided on awards below target because the Company's performance was below target, but determined that some level of awards was warranted because the Company was still profitable in a very difficult market.

Name	Annual Bonus plan (\$)			Equity Plan (RSUs) (#)		
	Target*	Actual Award	Actual as a % of target	Target*	Actual	Actual as a % of target
Sotirios Vahaviolos	\$250,098	\$109,131	43.6%	23,633	11,053	46.8%
Jon Wolk	\$139,533	\$60,885	43.6%	9,483	4,435	46.8%
Dennis Bertolotti	\$155,167	\$67,707	43.6%	11,573	5,412	46.8%
Michael Lange	\$130,813	\$57,080	43.6%	8,891	4,158	46.8%
Michael Keefe	\$82,542	\$36,017	43.6%	5,304	2,480	46.8%

*These targets are pro-rated amounts based on 7/12 of the annual target, to account for the seven-month transition period.

Actions for 2017

For calendar 2017, the Compensation Committee has retained the same equity plan for incentive compensation as was used in 2016. For the annual bonus plan, the Compensation Committee is adding an additional performance metric of free cash flow, which is cash flow from operating activities less cash used to purchase property, plant and equipment and intangible assets. Each of the four metrics – revenue, adjusted EBITDAS, EBITDAS margin, and free cash flow – will have a 20% weighting. As mentioned above, no increase in base salary has been awarded for 2017 and target

awards for incentive compensation will remain the same. The incentive compensation plan for 2017 will be aligned with our new calendar year financial reporting.

Overall Compensation for Transition 2016 Performance

The Compensation Committee reviews compensation awarded to our executive officers based on compensation and awards related to a particular fiscal period, and our performance for that period. Due to the SEC disclosure rules for the Summary Compensation Table under “Executive Compensation,” the compensation set forth in that table for a particular year does not necessarily align with the actual compensation related to that year. For example, the amounts shown in the summary compensation table under stock awards for transition 2016 report the grant date value when the target award RSUs are established early in the fiscal period, based on accounting and SEC rules. However, the actual awards for transition 2016 were much less because (a) the target awards were pro-rated for a seven-month period, and (b) the awards were further reduced below the pro-rated target based upon Company performance. In addition, performance share units, or PSUs, granted in October 2014 for the period of fiscal 2015 to 2017 pertain to and are earned based upon performance from 2015 to 2017. However, the Summary Compensation Table includes the entire value of these grants in fiscal 2015 based upon the value used for stock compensation accounting under FASB ASC Topic 718, as required by the SEC rules for summary compensation table disclosure, although no amounts were earned for these grants. Similarly, the amounts earned for PSUs included in fiscal 2014 for the were substantially less than the amount reported in the summary compensation table. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee will consider awards based on the period or periods for which the named executive officer is being compensated, which may not align with the summary compensation disclosures.

Role of Executive Officers in Setting Compensation

Dr. Vahaviolos plays a role in setting compensation for executive officers, as has been the case historically since he founded the Company close to 40 years ago. Dr. Vahaviolos has been operating in the NDT and asset protection industry for almost 40 years and possesses a detailed and in-depth knowledge of the industry and our competitors, which enables him to assess the performance of our executive officers as compared to our competitors. In transition 2016, Dr. Vahaviolos continued to play a role in making recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding our other executive officers and the level of overall equity awards, but his recommendations are subject to the Compensation Committee’s independent review and approval. We expect this practice will continue in the future, as the Compensation Committee values Dr. Vahaviolos’ input and guidance regarding compensation for other executive officers.

Impact of Tax Treatment

The Company and the Compensation Committee consider tax, tax deductibility and accounting treatment of various compensation alternatives, and strive to structure all compensation to be fully tax deductible. However, these are not the driving or most influential factors. The Compensation Committee may approve non-deductible compensation arrangements if it believes they are in our best interests and those of our shareholders, taking into account several factors, including our ability to utilize deductions based on projected taxable income.

Employment Agreements and Severance Arrangements

We have an employment agreement with Dr. Vahaviolos for the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. The agreement is currently in a one-year term which automatically renews for successive one-year periods in the absence of an election by either party to terminate. The employment agreement is described further under “Vahaviolos Employment Agreement” and the subheading of “Dr. Vahaviolos” under “Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment.” We have established a severance plan for our other named executive officers that is further explained in “Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment” under the subheading “Our Other Named Executive Officers.”

Compensation Policies

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Compensation Committee and Board have established stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers. Our CEO is required to hold shares of our common stock with a value of at least five times his annual base salary and all other executive officers are required to hold shares of our common stock with a value of at least two times their annual base salary. Our current executive officers meet these guidelines. Future executive officers will have five years from their appointment to meet the guidelines. Unexercised options and unearned performance shares or performance RSUs are not counted toward meeting the guidelines until earned. If an executive officer is not meeting the minimum ownership guidelines (even if before the date he must meet them), the executive officer is required to hold all shares received from the vesting or exercise of an equity award during the preceding 36 months (other than shares withheld to pay withholding taxes and shares acquired upon the exercise of options which are sold to cover the exercise price) until the guidelines are met.

Claw-Back Policy

We have established an incentive compensation recoupment policy, pursuant to which we may recoup both cash and equity incentive compensation from executive officers. If we have a significant restatement of previously issued financial statements caused by the fraud or willful misconduct of one or more of our executive officers (such executive officers shall be referred to as “culpable officers”), as determined by the Compensation Committee in its reasonable judgment after consultation with the Audit Committee, and the culpable officers received incentive compensation based upon the results of the financial statements which are subject to the significant restatement, the policy provides for the following.

The Compensation Committee shall recalculate the incentive compensation for the period or periods related to the restated financial statements that the culpable officers should have received, based upon the restated financial statements. If the incentive compensation the culpable officers received is greater than the recalculated amount of incentive compensation as determined by the Compensation Committee, then the Compensation Committee shall seek to recoup from the culpable officers such excess incentive compensation. The Compensation Committee shall determine the manner and timing by which we will seek recovery from the culpable officers, including the cancellation of equity awards and setoff against current or future compensation, to the extent permitted by law.

Hedging Prohibitions

Our Insider Trading Compliance Policy prohibits all our employees, including our executive officers and directors, from (i) trading in options of any kind or other derivatives related to our securities, (ii) selling our securities short or (iii) purchasing our securities on margin.

Continuing Review of Compensation Practices

We will continue to review our compensation practices and programs and will consider changes as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate to meet our compensation goals. No material changes are planned for 2017.

Risk Assessment of Compensation Practices and Programs

Our Compensation Committee and senior management assessed whether our compensation practices and programs for our executive officers and other employees pose any material risk to us and determined that our compensation practices and programs are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The following table provides information regarding the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, any persons who served in the role of principal financial officer during transition 2016, and each of the next three most highly compensated executive officers in transition 2016. We refer to these individuals as our “named executive officers.” The table discloses the compensation for transition 2016, which is designated as “TP 2016” and for fiscal years 2016, 2015, 2014, which are designated “FY.”

Name and principal position	Fiscal Period	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards \$(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$(2)	All Other Compensation \$(3)	Total (\$)
Sotirios J. Vahaviolos..... Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	TP 2016	310,400	–	1,008,789	109,131	16,454	1,444,783
	FY 2016	504,000	–	963,442	699,839	29,587	2,197,268
	FY 2015	500,699	–	748,759	312,096	26,273	1,587,827
	FY 2014	476,286	–	4,539,751	316,690	24,408	5,357,136
Jonathan H. Wolk..... Senior Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	TP 2016	225,654	–	527,349	60,885	8,922	822,810
	FY 2016	338,000	25,000	594,246	303,447	20,997	1,281,690
	FY 2015	335,500	–	200,693	135,323	12,221	683,737
	FY 2014	168,750	–	1,648,459	137,315	42,509	1,997,033
Dennis Bertolotti..... President and Chief Operating Officer	TP 2016	225,192	–	739,091	67,707	12,632	1,044,622
	FY 2016	306,885	50,000	630,444	242,770	15,840	1,245,939
	FY 2015	289,693	–	173,383	148,518	15,803	627,397
	FY2014	272,615	50,800	1,388,859	140,310	16,541	1,869,125
Michael J. Lange..... Vice Chairman and Senior Executive Vice President, Strategic Planning and Business Development	TP 2016	200,213	–	502,051	57,080	11,137	770,481
	FY 2016	316,400	25,000	638,174	270,307	10,494	1,260,375
	FY 2015	314,054	15,000	339,492	193,114	9,335	870,995
	FY 2014	302,040	–	1,614,507	150,332	8,488	2,075,367
Michael C. Keefe..... Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	TP 2016	170,331	–	226,391	36,017	10,867	443,606
	FY 2016	263,120	–	469,827	214,747	16,302	963,996
	FY 2015	261,174	–	156,236	95,767	11,879	525,056
	FY 2014	248,754	14,800	1,003,370	97,177	14,322	1,378,423

- (1) This column represents the value of RSUs, PSUs or performance based RSUs based upon their grant date fair value for stock compensation under FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (2) The amounts in this column represent the cash payments under the annual incentive program made to each named executive officer after the conclusion of the fiscal period, based upon the Company’s performance against financial metrics and the individual performance of the named executive officer during the fiscal period.
- (3) For All Other Compensation in transition 2016, no named executive officer received any perquisite or personal benefit which individually exceeded \$25,000 and generally consisted of vehicle allowance or usage and Company matching of 401-K plan contributions.

Note on this table: The amounts in this table, particularly in the column “Stock Awards,” may not reflect the value of equity awards actually earned, due to the SEC rules for this column and accounting rules. In addition, the target amounts for TP 2016 were reduced proportionately to account for the seven-month transition period, but that reduction is not reflected in the “Stock Awards” column of this table. See “Overall Compensation for Transition 2016 Performance” on page 24.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Transition 2016

The following table provides information regarding grants of non-equity plan based awards to our named executive officers in transition 2016. These amounts are pro-rated at 7/12 (or 58.3%) of the full year award to account for the seven-month transition period. Accordingly, the target column is 58.3% of the target column of the second table on this page, which reflects estimated payouts for a full fiscal year.

Name	Grant date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards		
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)
Sotirios J. Vahaviolos.....	(1)	125,049	250,098	500,197
Jonathan H. Wolk.....	(1)	69,767	139,533	279,067
Dennis Bertolotti.....	(1)	77,583	155,167	310,333
Michael J. Lange.....	(1)	65,406	130,813	261,625
Michael C. Keefe.....	(1)	41,271	82,542	165,083

- (1) Amounts are potential payouts under the Company's cash bonus plan for executive officers for transition 2016, which are based on Company performance. The threshold assumes minimum performance and minimal awards for individual performance, which pays at 50% of target award; maximum assumes performance at or above the levels needed for maximum payout and maximum award for individual performance, which pays out at 200% of target award level. The actual awards for transition 2016 are included under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table for transition 2016.

The following table provides information regarding grants of non-equity incentive awards for our named executive officers, as approved at the beginning of transition 2016, based upon a fiscal year value, which was approved by the Compensation Committee before the change in the fiscal year.

Name	Grant date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards		
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)
Sotirios J. Vahaviolos.....	(1)	214,370	428,740	857,480
Jonathan H. Wolk.....	(1)	119,600	239,200	478,400
Dennis Bertolotti.....	(1)	133,000	266,000	532,000
Michael J. Lange.....	(1)	112,125	224,250	448,500
Michael C. Keefe.....	(1)	70,750	141,500	283,000

- (1) Amounts are potential payouts under the Company's cash bonus plan for executive officers for transition 2016, which are based on Company performance. The threshold assumes minimum performance and minimal awards for individual performance, which pays at 50% of target award; maximum assumes performance at or above the levels needed for maximum payout and maximum award for individual performance, which pays out at 200% of target award level. The actual awards for transition 2016 are included under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table for transition 2016.

The following table provides information regarding grants of equity awards to our named executive officers in transition 2016. These amounts are pro-rated at 7/12 (or 58.3%) of the full year award to account for the seven-month transition period. Accordingly, the target column under Estimated Future Payments Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards is 58.3% of the target column in the second table on this page.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			All other stock awards: number of shares of stock or units (#)	Grant date fair value of stock and option awards (\$)
		Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)		
Sotirios Vahaviolos	8/17/2016	11,817	23,633	47,266		
Jonathan Wolk.	8/17/2016 8/17/2016	4,742	9,483	18,967	5,000	122,500
Dennis Bertolotti	8/17/2016 8/17/2016	5,786	11,573	23,146	10,000	245,100
Michael Lange	8/17/2016 8/17/2016	4,445	8,891	17,781	5,000	122,500
Michael Keefe	8/17/2016	2,652	5,304	10,607		

The grant date value for the stock awards is based upon FASB ASC Topic 718:

- (1) Amounts are potential payouts under the Company's equity plan for executive officers for transition 2016, which are based on Company performance. The threshold assumes minimum performance and minimal awards for individual performance, which pays at 50% of target award; maximum assumes performance at or above the levels needed for maximum payout and maximum award for individual performance, which pays out at 200% of target award level. The actual number of RSUs earned for transition 2016 are set forth on page 23.

The following table provides information regarding the estimated future payouts of equity awards to our named executive officers, as approved at the beginning of transition 2016, based upon a full fiscal year, which was approved by the Compensation Committee before the change in the Company's fiscal year.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)		
		Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)
Sotirios Vahaviolos	8/17/2016	20,257	40,514	81,028
Jonathan Wolk.	8/17/2016	8,129	16,257	32,514
Dennis Bertolotti	8/17/2016	9,920	19,839	39,678
Michael Lange	8/17/2016	7,621	15,241	30,482
Michael Keefe	8/17/2016	4,546	9,092	18,184

The grant date value for the stock awards is based upon FASB ASC Topic 718:

- (1) Amounts are potential payouts under the Company's equity plan for executive officers for transition 2016, which are based on Company performance. The threshold assumes minimum performance and minimal awards for individual performance, which pays at 50% of target award; maximum assumes performance at or above the levels needed for maximum payout and maximum award for individual performance, which pays out at 200% of target award level. The actual number of RSUs earned for transition 2016 are set forth on page 23.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2016

The following table provides information regarding equity awards granted to our named executive officers that were outstanding as of December 31, 2016:

Name	Option Awards			Stock Awards			
	Number of securities underlying unexercised options exercisable (#)(1)	Option exercise price (\$/share)	Option expiration date	Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested (#)(2)	Market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested (\$)(2)	Equity incentive plan awards: number of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (#)(3)	Equity incentive plan awards: market or payout value of unearned shares, units or other rights that have not vested (\$)(3)
S. Vahaviolos	1,950,000	13.46	9/01/2019	116,534	2,987,932	40,514	1,038,779
J. Wolk				59,132	1,516,144	16,257	416,829
D. Bertolotti	5,000	10.00	4/09/2019	64,063	1,642,575	19,839	508,672
M. Lange	139,358	13.46	7/21/2019	62,364	1,599,013	15,241	390,779
M. Keefe				38,319	982,499	9,092	233,119

- (1) All options are exercisable; no un-exercisable or unvested options are outstanding.
- (2) These columns represent unvested RSUs which have only time-based vesting restrictions remaining.
- (3) These columns represent the performance-based RSUs granted for transition 2016, at the target award.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Transition 2016

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of shares acquired on exercise (#)	Value realized on exercise (\$)	Number of shares acquired on vesting (#)	Value realized on vesting (\$)
Sotirios Vahaviolos	—	—	48,879	1,209,466
Jonathan Wolk	—	—	16,001	380,437
Dennis Bertolotti	21,000	314,370	16,740	391,412
Michael Lange	—	—	27,366	629,807
Michael Keefe	—	—	13,165	313,305

Pension Benefits and Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

We do not currently provide our named executive officers with pension benefits or nonqualified deferred compensation.

Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment

We have a severance plan that covers all our executive officers, providing them with benefits in connection with a termination of employment in certain circumstances. This severance plan is designed to provide its participants with some level of continued income and benefits upon the termination of their employment with the Company under certain circumstances.

All our named executive officers will receive the benefits of the severance plan, with the exception of Dr. Vahaviolos, who has an employment agreement with us which controls his severance.

The following summarizes the payments and benefits that would be owed by us to the named executive officers upon termination under the circumstances described below, in each case assuming termination occurred on December 31, 2016.

Dr. Vahaviolos

Event	Salary	Incentive Bonus (1)	Unvested Equity Awards (2)	Healthcare and Other Benefits	Total
Termination by Company without cause/termination by Dr. Vahaviolos for good reason, with no change in control	\$756,600	\$643,110	\$2,992,593	\$67,159	\$4,459,462
Change of control and termination by Company without cause/termination by Dr. Vahaviolos for good reason	\$1,008,800	\$857,480	\$2,992,593	\$67,159	\$4,926,032
Disability or death	\$252,200	–	\$2,992,593	\$24,281	\$3,269,074

- (1) Dr. Vahaviolos is entitled to 1-1/2 times (two times in case of change in control) of the greater of (a) bonus at 85% of salary or (b) current year's bonus in case of termination by (i) the Company without cause or (ii) Dr. Vahaviolos for good reason. This amount does not include the amounts under the column Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table, which would be paid to Dr. Vahaviolos as well.
- (2) Dr. Vahaviolos' RSUs vest upon the termination of his employment for any of the events listed above and performance RSUs will be deemed earned and vested at target. The closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2016 was \$25.68 per share, and Dr. Vahaviolos had 116,534 unvested RSUs as of December 31, 2016 and 11,053 performance based RSUs that were earned for transition 2016.

Termination without cause occurs if Dr. Vahaviolos is terminated for any reason other than: (1) a conviction of or a *nolo contendere* (uncontested) plea to a felony or an indictment for a felony against Mistras that has a material adverse effect on our business; (2) fraud involving Mistras; (3) willful failure to carry out material employment responsibilities; or (4) willful violation of a material company policy, in each case subject to a 30 day cure period if the act or omission is curable by Dr. Vahaviolos.

Dr. Vahaviolos may terminate his employment for good reason as follows: (1) a material reduction in his status or position, including a reduction in his duties, responsibilities or authority, or the assignment to him of duties or responsibilities that are materially inconsistent with his status or position; (2) a reduction in his base salary or failure to pay such amount; (3) a reduction in his total target incentive award opportunity; (4) a breach by us of any of our material obligations under the employment agreement; (5) a required relocation of his principal place of employment of more than 50 miles; or (6) in connection with a change in control, a failure by the successor company to assume our obligations under his employment agreement.

Termination in connection with a change in control occurs if we terminate Dr. Vahaviolos' employment without cause at the request of an acquirer or otherwise in contemplation of a change in control in the period beginning six months prior to the date of a change in control, or we terminate him without cause or he terminates his employment for good reason within two years after a change in control.

Our Other Named Executive Officers

Under the severance plan, if an executive officer's employment is terminated, the executive officer would receive the following:

- If an executive officer's employment is terminated by the Company without cause or he terminates employment for good reason in a situation not involving a change in control, the executive officer will receive 12 months of base salary plus a pro rata portion of the annual cash bonus for the year in which employment is terminated.

- If the executive officer’s employment is terminated by the Company without cause or he terminates employment for good reason, in either case within 6 months before or 2 years after a change in control, he will receive 18 months of base salary plus 1-1/2 times his annual cash bonus at the executive officer’s target bonus opportunity.

If an executive officer’s employment is terminated by the Company without cause or the executive officer terminates employment for good reason, not in connection with a change in control, then while he is receiving the termination payment (so long as he is complying with the confidentiality requirements and the non-compete and non-solicitation restrictions which are conditions for severance benefits), all options and RSUs will continue to vest. Any outstanding performance-based awards will be earned and vested pro rata to the date of termination and the amount of any awards payable or vesting will be determined based on actual performance. Any vested stock options shall expire 90 days after the end of the severance period.

If an executive officer’s employment is terminated by the Company without cause or he terminates employment for good reason within 6 months before or 2 years after a change in control, all equity-based incentive awards granted to the executive officer which were not paid out or fully vested in connection with the change in control shall become fully vested immediately, with the payout under any performance-based awards being equal to the target amount.

The following sets forth the severance payments we would pay to our other named executive officers if their employment was terminated at the conclusion of transition 2016 by us without cause or by the executive officer for good reason. Under the severance policy, the terms termination “without cause” and “for good reason” are substantially the same as described above for Dr. Vahaviolos.

Circumstance of Termination	Salary	Incentive Bonus (1)	Unvested Equity Awards (2)	Healthcare and Other Benefits	Total
<i>No Change in Control</i>					
Jonathan Wolk.....	\$368,000	–	\$416,138	\$15,473	\$799,611
Dennis Bertolotti.....	\$380,000	–	\$570,507	\$14,048	\$964,555
Michael Lange.....	\$345,000	–	\$356,092	\$12,140	\$713,232
Michael Keefe.....	\$283,000	–	\$167,767	\$13,978	\$464,746
<i>Change in Control</i>					
Jonathan Wolk.....	\$552,000	\$303,600	\$1,632,401	\$15,473	\$2,503,474
Dennis Bertolotti	\$570,000	\$313,500	\$1,784,118	\$14,048	\$2,681,666
Michael Lange.....	\$517,500	\$310,500	\$1,708,285	\$12,140	\$2,548,425
Michael Keefe.....	\$424,500	\$212,250	\$1,047,718	\$13,978	\$1,698,447

(1) Does not include amounts paid under the column Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation in the Summary Compensation Table, which would be paid as well.

(2) Includes transition 2016 performance based RSUs earned based upon actual performance.

Vahaviolos Employment Agreement

We have an employment agreement with Dr. Vahaviolos for the positions of executive Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. The agreement currently is in effect for a one-year period which automatically renews each August 31 in the absence of an election by either party to terminate. The employment agreement provides for an annual review by the Compensation Committee of Dr. Vahaviolos’ base salary and for annual short-term incentive opportunities targeted at no less than 75% of his annual base salary. Under this agreement, Dr. Vahaviolos was granted options to purchase 1,950,000 shares of our common stock, which are now fully vested, with an exercise price equal to \$13.46 per share.

Under his employment agreement, Dr. Vahaviolos may be entitled to receive payments and other benefits upon the termination of his employment. These payments and other benefits are described under “Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment” above. If Dr. Vahaviolos is subject to the federal excise tax on “excess parachute payments” for benefits to which he is entitled under his employment agreement or otherwise from us, he is entitled to receive an amount necessary to offset the excise taxes and any related income taxes, penalties and interest.

Post-employment payments and benefits under the employment agreement are subject to compliance by Dr. Vahaviolos with the restrictive covenants in the agreement, including non-disclosure, non-competition and non-solicitation covenants. The non-competition and non-solicitation covenants expire on the second anniversary of the termination of Dr. Vahaviolos' employment. The non-disclosure covenant does not expire. If Dr. Vahaviolos violates any of these covenants, he will not be entitled to further payments and benefits under the employment agreement and must repay us for the post-employment payments and benefits received under the agreement. All post-employment payments or benefits under the employment agreement are conditioned on the execution of a general release of claims by Dr. Vahaviolos in favor of us, our affiliates, and our officers, directors and employees.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS AND OTHER MATTERS

Shareholders may submit proposals on matters appropriate for shareholder action at meetings of the Company's shareholders in accordance with Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. If a shareholder wants us to include such a proposal in our proxy statement for presentation at our 2018 annual shareholders meeting of shareholders, the proposal must be received by our Corporate Secretary, at 195 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550, no later than December 18, 2017, and all applicable requirements of Rule 14a-8 must be satisfied. If the shareholder submitting the proposal is not the holder of record, the shareholder will need to submit to us proof of ownership for at least one year. This can generally be obtained from the bank, broker or other nominee holding the shares. We are not required to include any proposal received after December 18, 2017 in our proxy materials for the 2018 annual shareholders meeting.

A shareholder may also nominate directors or have other business brought before the 2018 annual shareholders meeting by submitting the nomination or proposal to us on or after January 16, 2018, and on or before February 15, 2018, in accordance with Section 2.14 of our bylaws. If, however, our 2018 shareholders meeting is held before April 16, 2018 or after July 15, 2018, the time period for a shareholder to submit a nomination or proposal will be modified in accordance with Section 2.14 of our bylaws. The nomination or proposal must be delivered to our Corporate Secretary at 195 Clarksville Road, Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550, and meet all the requirements of our bylaws. Our bylaws are available on our website at <http://investors.mistrasgroup.com/governance.cfm>.

Directions to Mistras Group Headquarters

195 Clarksville Road

Princeton Junction, New Jersey 08550

From Route 1 North from Trenton:

Take exit for Quakerbridge Road (County Road 533) heading south. Merge onto Quakerbridge Road heading south, then make left at traffic light at Clarksville Road (County Road 638). Stay on Clarksville Road for approximately 2 miles, and the entrance to Mistras headquarters will be on the left. Upon entering the parking lot, Mistras headquarters is the building on the right.

From Route 1 South from North Brunswick:

Take the second exit for Alexander Road. Merge onto Alexander Road and take to the traffic circle. Take the first turn off the traffic circle ($\frac{1}{4}$ of the way around the traffic circle) on to North Post Road. Take North Post Road to the first traffic light, and make a right onto Clarksville Road. Take Clarksville Road approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ mile to Mistras headquarters on right. Upon entering the parking lot, Mistras headquarters is the building on the right.

